

Minutes were adopted by the GCC Faculty Senate on January 28th, 2025 at 12:00pm per Senate Operating Rule 1, "Electronic Approval of Meeting Minutes."

ATTENDANCE						
	Karina Sokol, President		Aubrei Smith, Secretary	\checkmark	Christine Jones, Treasurer	Aaron Fried, Senator
\checkmark	Nina Gargulio, Senator		Erik Gergus, Senator	\checkmark	Chuck Hulihan, Senator	Steve Kadel, Senator
	Ed McKennon, Senator		Chris Nielsen, Senator		Jillane Ocano, Senator	Alexander Patrick- Rolando, Senator
	Todd Polansky, Senator	\checkmark	Jena Remy, Senator	\checkmark	Angela Schwendiman, Senator	Lisa Worthy, Senator
\checkmark	George Gregg, FEC representative		Nathan Kurtz, FEC representative		Sergio Rivas, FEC representative	Guests: None

AGENDA TOPICS			
Торіс	Discussion		
Topic: Open Comment Period Time: 15 min	Please look at the recent email regarding tomorrow's, 1/23/2025, Community of Practice- "Embracing Innovation". Faculty, administration, and staff are all invited to participate. Please share with your departments.		
Topic: Guests Time: 0 min	None		
Topic: Call to Order & Approval of Agenda Time: 2 min	 Called to order at 3:33 Motion by Senator Kurtz; Second by Senator Gregg. All approved; none opposed; no abstentions. 		
Topic: Officer Reports	President: <u>Report linked here</u>		

AGENDA TOPICS		
Торіс	Discussion	
Time: 10 min	 Commencement date, time and location finalized. Call for student speaker nominations. Please fill out the form linked in the President's report above. Question- Has the Senate officially been asked to choose a faculty speaker yet? Answer- No, not officially, but we are slated to have one. We have a process for selection that we'll need to get working on. Not given a deadline. Senate President Sokol will send an email out requesting nominations and outlining the process. Trouble staffing PARC- need specifically service and CTE faculty. Senators, please reach out to your zones to ask for volunteers Beginning in the February meeting we will bring back the Department Chairs' Report. Secretary: No report 	
Topic: Committee Reports Time: 30 min	 College Faculty Staffing Advisory Committee (CFSAC) (Sokol/Remy/Kurtz/McKennon/Nielsen) No report College Plan Task Force (CPTF) (Sokol/ Jones/ Patrick-Rolando/ Fried/ Garguilo)- 2 min Subgroups are working on proposed modifications to the language. Please see the email/survey sent out about chair limits and respond.	

AGENDA TOPICS					
Торіс	Discussion				
	 Met in December to finish the evaluation. The committee summarized the feedback and sent a positive recommendation to College President Hernandez with that feedback. Recommended moving forward with the proposal. Request - Can we get a summary of what was sent to College President Hernandez? Details will be forthcoming when she has finished her review. Compliance Committee (Worthy)- 2 min) Please review the Title IX language change. Carnegie Classifications are undergoing review and may change. This may indirectly impact our Bachelor's degrees. FEC / Councilors at Large (Kurtz / Rivas / Gregg/ Sokol) - 5 min Question- How does the Senate want our FEC representatives to vote when it comes to the RFA? Do we take a vote as a Glendale Senate and they all vote the way of our majority? Do we divide proportionately to represent the Senate votes? Do we bring it to all GCC faculty and go majority or proportional? Historically, controversial issues have been voted on proportionately. It's up to us, though. Discussion to proceed during the Information/Discussion portion of the meeting. 				
Topic: Senate Priority Subcommittee Reports Time: 40 min	 Academic Reorganization Committee (ARC) (Sokol/Fried/Smith/Nielsen/Kadel/Remy)- 10 min Guiding principles identified. Information gathering stage- Survey for chairs asking for details that can further inform any reorganization that might be considered. Working on timeline/milestones/communication plan				

AGENDA TOPICS				
Торіс	Discussion			
	 Surveys are in development for both the individuals who seat the committees and the individuals involved in the committees/clubs themselves. Ask the Senate- what is our purpose? Are we reviewing and making suggestions? Are we creating a process to change the current system? Are we trying to evaluate equity in time and service? It would be good to get an evaluation of the time commitment across these committees and clubs. Review to assess if the club is active or not. Reducing Student Barriers (McKennon/Worthy/Gregg/Garguilo/Schwendiman)-10 min Timeline established- roughly 1 year Focus first on reviewing professional literature and then moving to data collection. Broader discussion of overall goals question to Senate above. What is the goal of these committees? Each committee should determine its individual goals and report back to the Senate. Senate response after goals discussion- The goal of the Senate subcommittees as a whole is to gather and summarize the relevant data; provide a review and make a recommendation of any next steps to the Senate. 			
Topic: Old Business Time: 0 min	None			

GENDA TOPICS				
Торіс	Discussion			
Topic: New Business Time: 10 min	 All-Employee Picnic (Kadel) Motion by Senator Kadel for the Senate to provide up to \$2000 to assist funding an All Employee picnic. Second by Senator Gergus. Additional Information- 			
Topic: Information/ Discussion Time: 60 min	 Budget Allocation Model (All) Additional information provided- Not a cut district-wide, but a reallocation of resources. Some campuses have been historically overfunded while some of our smaller sister colleges are getting less money per student to do the same work. The model seeks to balance out to allow for resource equity. Three colleges are in the red and will need to cut budgets. The model is based on headcount and FTSE. Glendale needs to cut about \$500,000 per year for 5 years, based on our current enrollment level. No need to cut faculty lines; looking at not filling vacant lines, cutting unnecessary licensing, etc. We have a Shared Governance Council that will help guide these recommendations. College President Hernandez offered to come to a Senate meeting to discuss the issue. Possibly after her presentation- College Conversation on Feb 3, 2025, 3:00-4:30 pm The Governing Board won't vote to approve/veto the budget until the meeting in May. Discussion points made- Increased enrollment is key in mitigating the impact on us. We have a fair bit of vacancy savings from unfilled faculty and staff lines. Potentially enough to cover one or two years. However, the new Faculty Staffing Model says we're supposed to increase GCC faculty. This will need to be reconciled. Need to be proactive and brainstorm solutions ahead of any discussion with College President 			

AGENDA TOPICS		
Торіс	Discussion	
	Hernandez.	
	 Question- Why is the District Office budget not shown on the models and allocation tables in th 	
	presentation?	
	 Point noted that the D.O. has proposed its budget will not exceed 20% of the overall 	
	total. Currently at 11% over the overall total.	
	• '25-'26 RFA Proposal (All)	
	 <u>Redlined version</u> 	
	 <u>Clean version</u> 	
	 In-Residence Discussion- 	
	 Point made: the current RFA requires at least 2 days on campus, and a day is defined as "6 hours". 	
	 The language in the proposed changes stating "at least 12 hours" is a clarification of that same time requirement. 	
	 General discussion of concerns raised during the virtual "RRFACT Road-Show" that this will poli pigeonhole Residential Faculty value in physical presence. 	
	 What separates Residential from Adjunct or Contingent faculty? Is this budget related? 	
	 Point made: Assigned location is only your primary location. 	
	• The 12 hours includes in-person teaching time.	
	The current policy does not include in-person teaching hours.	
	 Point made: The current policy is a struggle for the Chairs to enforce. 	
	Difficult to track if not blatantly obvious.	
	 This looks to be giving the Chairs more process and procedures to enforce 	
	consequences. The proposed policy language will hold people accountable.	
	 Point made: Staff will be required to be on campus 4/5 days. 	
	 Point made: FSA Transfers at the college level. 	
	 If a faculty can not make contractual load hours in their current FSA, the proposed 	
	language allows them to transfer to an open line at the college if they meet MHQs.	
	 Point made: FSA Campus Transfers 	
	 As lines at some colleges decline, others will increase. 	
	 Faculty can transfer to a new or open line at those colleges. 	
	 The language outlines a policy for faculty to be split between two campuses. 	
	 Point made: RFA is all or nothing approval. 	
	 When FEC votes, it will be to approve/veto the entire document, not sections. 	

Торіс	Discussion		
	 Point made: Delegable Duties of the Department Chair The proposed language gives the Chairs the option to delegate disciplinary duties and authority. This shouldn't be a delegable duty, but should be the responsibility of the chair. PRRC's future and scope (Gergus/ Ocano/ Hulihan/ Smith/ Schwendiman/ Polansky) When the committee was formed, the RFA didn't outline a process for peer investigations. This has since changed, and the RFA now has language outlining this process and procedure. Question for the Senate- What is the role and scope of the PRRC? A scope document should be created to outline the purpose and purview of the committee. The need for the committee hasn't been eliminated, but the role is changing. Decision to create a subcommittee to review and create a recommendation. Committee membership: Senators Gergus, Worthy, Remy, and Smith. 		
Topic: Adjournment Time: 2 min	• Meeting adjourned at 4:35 PM		

ON THE RADAR FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION

Faculty Commencement Speaker

How best for FEC reps to vote on RFA

Possible revisions to the Senate Constitution and Bylaws

MEETING DATES

Virtual- 1.23.25, 2.27.25, 3.27.25 In Person- 4.24.25